1-A (4) Single Bench

CF 7602

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) NO. 5417 /2006

PETITIONERS

1. Asha Ram Sahu, aged about 52 years, S/o.Guhan Das, R/o. Village & Post Bana Tehsil Arang, R/o. District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Gautam Sahu, S/o. Bisru Sahu, R/o. Village & Post Banarasi, Tehsil Arang, District Raipur(C.G.)
3. Pardesi Ram Sahu, S/o. Johan Sahu, Village Ranisagar, Post Banarasi, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. Dhan Singh Verma, S/o. Pardesi Ram, R/o. Village Dhivra, Post Kharora, Tehsil Tilda, District Raipur (C.G.)
5. Ramavatar Sahu, S/o. Bhukhau, R/o. Village & Post Banarasi, Tehsil Arang District Raipur (C.G.)
6. Chhedi Viswakarma, S/o. Sukhdeo, R/o. Vill.& Post Banarasi, Tehsil Arang, District Raipur (C.G.)
7. Chait Ram Sahu, S/o. Sewak, R/o. Village & Post Banarasi, Tehsil Arang, District Raipur(C.G.)

P.R. No. 5429/06
Presented by Sunil Agrawal
dated 31/10/06

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
31-10-06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Executive Engineer, Division No.-01, Public Works Department, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Sub Divisional Officer, Sub Division No. 4, Engineering College, Raipur, District Raipur(C.G.)

01/11/06

WRIT PETITIONER UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC. OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

(47)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 5417 of 2006

Petitioners : Asha Ram Sahu and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Sunil Otwani, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 8th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not press prayer No. 7.1 and 1.2.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. No. 454/2005 (Ram Prasad Yadav & others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 4.1.2007. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
3. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed of in terms of the order dated 4.1.2007 passed by this Court in W. P. No. 454/2005. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur